

## #mathscpdchat 28 January 2020

Surds and indices: how to use pupils' learning about one to support their learning about the other?

Hosted by Kathryn Darwin

This is a brief summary of the discussion – to see all the tweets, follow the hashtag **#mathscpdchat** in Twitter



Some of the areas where discussion focussed were:

whether pupils do, or should, encounter surds before or after encountering indices:

- an A level scheme of learning has surds before indices ... indices are 'covered' just before logarithms;
- 'my **pupils meet surds in Year 7** in a unit on powers and roots', during which unit they also learn the basic **addition and subtraction index laws**;

- that **surds can be a way into fractional indices** ... during a teaching-plan with the following topic-order: powers, roots, index laws restricted to whole-number indices, surds, index laws with fractional indices;
- that it's possible for pupils to learn effectively by 'meeting' surds and indices together
  ... pupils appreciating that a surd can be written as a number raised to a power
  ... for example, x^(1/n) = <sup>n</sup>√x;
- that surds might be introduced before indices in the context of the general idea of an irrational number;
- that when a number raised to the power ½ is multiplied by itself, representation as the equivalent surd supports the 'makes-sense-aspect' of the addition index law ... for example √3 × √3 = 3 and 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> × 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> = 3<sup>(1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> + <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) = 3<sup>1</sup> = 3;
- that students' abilities to 'swap-between' surd and index notation is necessary in order for them to make sense of some 'AS' calculus problems ... so, for example, in the specification for Level 3 Advanced Subsidiary (AS) GCE, knowing the equivalence of a^(m/n) and <sup>n</sup>√(a<sup>m</sup>) is 'early content';
- looking at indices again before students explore mathematics that involves logarithms;
- while teaching A level 'I flit between the two (surds and indices) all the time ... if we have an answer in one form I pretend to want the answer in the other form ... so much is done on surds and indices for GCSE that when teaching A level, it's hard to know which has been taught first';
- that because **good use of powers is necessary throughout A level**, students cannot repeatedly focus on them too often;
- that providing opportunities for students in KS3 to develop deep understanding of, and fluency in, simplifying expressions involving indices (such as (b^20c^14)^1/2 or (1000z^15)^1/3)) is good preparation for A level;
- that surds should be taught as soon as Pythagoras' Theorem ... that unnecessary difficulties arise for students if their first encounters with irrational numbers are when they are using calculators during A level work;
- that pupils meet simple indices in Key Stage 2, before they meet surds in KS3
  ... usually they first meet surds when they are calculating the side-lengths of right-angled triangles, and placing surds on number lines;

mathematical ideas that pupils need to understand before they can build/develop understanding of indices and surds;

• **in preparation for learning about surds**, prior learning should include: the laws of arithmetic, prime factor decomposition, application of Pythagoras' Theorem, and the ability to solve simple quadratic equations;

- **in preparation for learning about indices**, prior learning should include: the laws of arithmetic, order of operations, the ability to operate with/on fractions, and what the reciprocal of a fraction is;
- that some pupils in KS3 do not know/understand that m^n (where m and n are whole numbers) represents the number m multiplied by itself n times;

whether pupils learn to use and understand **numerical expressions involving indices** at the same time as, or at a time prior to when they start to work with **algebraic expressions involving indices**:

in the past we taught them separately, but we are now introducing algebra from the very beginning of Y7 ... so numerical work on any operation is generalised ... for example 5 – 7 = -(7 – 5) is understood as ('seen as') a special case of the algebraically expressed generality p – q = -(q – p);

when students first encounter surds 'as numbers in their own right':

 via processes of equation-solution ... that all linear equations have solutions within the rational numbers, and that moving on to solving quadratic equations quickly requires 'a new set of numbers';

that **working with Pythagoras' Theorem** enables pupils to locate surds on a number line using construction techniques ... an example of pupils seeing and using links between number and geometry;

- pupils learning something of the history of surds;
- introducing surds as side-lengths of squares with given areas ... and seeing that  $\sqrt{2}$  is the length of the diagonal of the unit square.

In what follows, click on any screenshot-of-a-tweet to go to that actual tweet on Twitter.

This is a part of a conversation about whether, or not, in secondary-school teaching, to introduce surds and indices at the same time, and that it may be very beneficial for subsequent learning at A level for students to develop fluency in simplifying, and moving (converting) between, expressions involving surds and expressions involving indices. The conversation was generated by this tweet from <u>Kathryn Darwin</u>:



## Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28

I've been designing an all through scheme of work and it is BRILLIANT because of all of this. But also a nightmare. I am now fretting about the index laws and surds order again. Because maybe surds should be earlier!? At least relating to irrationality as a concept. #mathscpdchat

and included these from Simon Ball, Kathryn Darwin and Alex J-Williams:



#### Simon Ball @ballyzero · Jan 28

Hard to answer that! It might be one of those things that you trial with a group or two, to see if it works better. I feel like index laws and surds could be reasonably separate topics, though... #mathscpdchat



#### Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28

I think that is traditionally how it is done. I know it was for me. Until it came to needing index notation in differentiation/integration problems with surds at A Level! #mathscpdchat



# Alex J-Williams @Trudgeteacher · Jan 28

Replying to @Arithmaticks

Don't seem them as a linked topic, any more than doing polynomials etc. Indices is about a form of notation, surds handling a gap in the number line? Why are they taught together (not that there is a reason not to either)?

## these from Kathryn Darwin and Alex J-Williams:



### Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28

For me the link was through fractional indices. Some students prefer one representation over another. It reinforces the power of a half as a square root, or that root(2) x root(2) = 2 because its actually  $2^0.5 \times 2^0.5 = 2^1$  etc. #mathscpdchat



#### Alex J-Williams @Trudgeteacher · Jan 28

Yes happy with that proof but is it not just a justification for the fractional index notation rather than a direct link with surds? Indices are really important because of the link to calculus of polynomial/power functions? Don't see a pedagogic link between the two?



## Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28

Ah but liguisitcally there is. We have special names for squares and cubes, but if you exclude those we have 'fourth root' for a power of 1/4, a 'fifth root' for a power of 1/5, etc. I think there are actually many links. They are just different representations imo. #mathscpdchat



## Alex J-Williams @Trudgeteacher · Jan 28

Don't bring linguistics into this! That's true but we don't really explore surds in terns of cube or 4th roots or do we?? #mathscpdchat



## Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28 Haha I already did!!!! Oh I definitley would! Would you never ask 8^(1/3)?

and these from Kathryn Darwin and Alex J-Williams:

#mathscpdchat



Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28 I would also put that in my lessons, and have done. Just to see what students do with it! #mathscpdchat

Write as integers or surds:	Write as powers in their simplest form or surds:	
$144^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$x^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(36z^8)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
$27^{\frac{1}{3}}$	$y^{\frac{1}{3}}$	$(35z^8)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
$81^{\frac{1}{3}}$	$z\frac{1}{10}$	$(1000z^{15})^{\frac{1}{3}}$
$16^{\frac{1}{4}}$	$(x^{10})^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(5c)^{\frac{1}{4}}$
$12^{\frac{1}{5}}$	$(x^9)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(b^{20}c^{14})^{1\over 7}$



#### Alex J-Williams @Trudgeteacher · Jan 28

Always interesting to see how weak these concepts are with our a level students! #mathscpdchat



## Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28

I did these with Year 8 when I taught them this at the end of last year. I am trying very hard to go slower with concepts but make them deeper. This built up over about 8 lessons so includes other laws too. #mathscpdchat



Alex J-Williams @Trudgeteacher · Jan 28 Brilliant #mathscpdchat



Kathryn @Arithmaticks · Jan 28 I just want loads of kids to take A Level and be good at it. So I start them early ;) #mathscpdchat

Alex J-Williams @Trudgeteacher · Jan 28 Its what we need. #mathscpdchat

(to read the discussion-sequence generated by any tweet look at the 'replies' to that tweet)

Among the links shared were:

<u>Departmental Workshops - Surds</u> which is an NCETM resource written (in 2010) to provide mathematics teams with structured professional development that can be delivered inhouse. The objectives include using surds in exact calculations, without a calculator, and rationalising denominators of expressions involving surds. It was shared by <u>SteveLMMXX</u>

<u>Rationalising the Denominator</u> which is an illustrated, and comprehensively explanatory, PDF document by <u>Alex ~ VicMathsNotes</u>. It was shared by <u>Alex ~ VicMathsNotes</u> <u>Simplifying Surds</u> which is another illustrated, and comprehensively explanatory, PDF document by <u>Alex ~ VicMathsNotes</u>. It was shared by <u>Alex ~ VicMathsNotes</u>